



Date: Tuesday, 25 February 2025

Time: 2.00 pm

Venue: The Council Chamber, The Guildhall, Frankwell Quay, Shrewsbury, SY3 8HQ

Contact: Tim Ward, Committee Officer  
Tel: 01743 257713  
Email: [tim.ward@shropshire.gov.uk](mailto:tim.ward@shropshire.gov.uk)

## **SOUTHERN PLANNING COMMITTEE**

### **SCHEDULE OF ADDITIONAL LETTERS**

NOTE: This schedule reports only additional letters received before 5pm on the day before committee. Any items received on the day of Committee will be reported verbally to the meeting

This page is intentionally left blank

<b>SOUTH PLANNING COMMITTEE</b>		
<b>SCHEDULE OF ADDITIONAL LETTERS</b>		
<b>Date: 25<sup>th</sup> February 2025</b>		
<b>NOTE: This schedule reports only additional letters received before 5pm on the day before committee. Any items received on the day of Committee will be reported verbally to the meeting</b>		
<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Originator:</b>
6	25/00171/COU	Member of public
<p>An additional representation was received from the occupier of a neighbouring property. It raises concerns that the application site overlooks 39 Redstone Drive and that occupants of the two existing properties can see into each other's homes, impacting privacy. The objection repeats concerns relating to the consistency of the application documents alongside the other considerations already raised.</p>		
<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Originator:</b>
5	24/04272/FUL	Member of public
<p>An additional representation was received from a neighbour. It states that a challenge will be considered for Nos 32 &amp; 34 Harley Road. It also notes that the recent 24/04604/CPL for 25 Harley Road has been granted and that the neighbours were not able to comment on this scheme, but they were expecting that this case would be discussed at the Committee Meeting. The neighbour is now asking that the Council should consider the bigger picture here and that this CPL case should now be considered further.</p>		
<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Originator:</b>
6.	25/00171/COU	Member of public
<p>An additional representation was received from an existing objector, reiterating their concerns regarding the quality of revised application documents that had been submitted by the applicant, and the inconsistencies within them – specifically with regard to the proposed name of the care home and regarding whether a single or two child residents would live within it.</p>		
<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Originator:</b>
8	24/01598/REM	Officer (Louise Evans)
<p>Recommended conditions 3 and 4 include implementation requirements from the occupation of 250 dwellings. Following negotiations with the applicant, it is suggested that this is altered to 300 dwellings.</p> <p>It is also noted that the published report contains an error with two conditions numbered as condition 3. Should the officer's recommendation be accepted this will be rectified on any decision notice issued so that all the conditions have a unique number.</p> <p>The recommendation is therefore to grant permission subject to the conditions detailed in appendix 1 of the committee report with delegation to officers to amend the conditions to take account of the changes and for conditions 3 and 4 to have implementation requirements from the occupation of 300 dwellings and all conditions to have a unique number.</p>		

This page is intentionally left blank